

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

WRIT PETITION NO.1903 OF 2019

SANJAY CHANDRAKANT SIDANKAR
VERSUS
SURYAKANT BABURAO SIDANKAR AND OTHERS

...

Smt. Anjali Dube (Bajpai), Advocate for the Petitioner.

Mr. S. S. Gangakhedkar, Advocate for Respondent Nos.1 & 1a to 1d.

Mr. P. B. Shirsath, Advocate for Respondent No.16.

Mr. Vishnu Kande h/f Mr. P. K. Phale, Advocate for Respondent Nos.18a to 18c.

...

**CORAM : SHARMILA U. DESHMUKH, J.
DATED : 07th FEBRUARY, 2023.**

PER COURT:-

1. The dispute in the present case stands resolved in view of the statement made by the learned counsel for the petitioner that they will file a separate application for amendment after removing technicalities, which were mentioned in the impugned order dated 08.10.2018.

2. The application for amendment was filed by the plaintiff no.1 without the signature of the other plaintiffs i.e. plaintiff nos.2 to 5 and in view of this technical irregularities, the Trial Court had rejected the application with permission to file an appropriate amendment application.

3. Considering the statement of the learned counsel for the petitioner, the writ petition is disposed of by permitting the

petitioner to prefer an appropriate application before the Trial Court, which will be considered by the Trial Court on its own merits and in accordance with law.

(SHARMILA U. DESHMUKH)
JUDGE

Devendra/February-2023