

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**911 CIVIL APPLICATION NO.898 OF 2023
IN FAST/34885/2021 WITH CA/347/2022 IN
FAST/34885/2021 WITH CA/348/2022 IN FAST/34885/2021**

**KALPANABAI YOGESH PATIL AND OTHERS
VERSUS
SANJAY MANIK KOLI AND OTHERS**

...

Mr. Vijay Patil, Advocate for the applicants.
Mr. A.B. Kadethankar, Advocate for respondent No.3.

...

CORAM : SANDIPKUMAR C. MORE, J.

DATED : 25.01.2023.

ORDER:-

1. Heard rival submissions. The applicants are seeking permission to serve respondent Nos.1, 2A to 2E by paper publication as well as by way of pasting as per Order 5 Rule 20 of the Code of Civil Procedure. However, it appears from the bailiff report that respondent Nos.1 and 2A have refused to accept the service and therefore, they are treated as served. Further, issue paper publication in respect of respondent Nos.2B to 2E as claimed by the applicants in prayer clause (C) subject to payment of necessary charges directly to the concerned newspaper. In addition to that, respondent Nos.2B to 2E be also served by way of pasting.

2. List the other Civil Applications on 08.02.2023.

(SANDIPKUMAR C. MORE, J.)