

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

919 WRIT PETITION NO.249 OF 2023

SATISH SATYANARAYAN SOMANI
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA THROUGH SECRETARY AND OTHERS

...
Advocate for Petitioner : Mr. Nirmal Ramchandra J.
AGP for the respondent – State : Mr. S.B. Yawalkar
Advocate for the respondents nos. 3 and 4 : Mr. S.K. Kadam
...

**CORAM : MANGESH S. PATIL &
S. G. CHAPALGAONKAR, JJ.**

DATE : 7 JANUARY 2023

PC :

Heard the learned advocate for the petitioner and the learned advocate Mr. Kadam who appears for the State Co-operative Election Authority and the Returning Officer.

2. The petitioner is objecting to the on-going election programme on the ground that there was no wide publication of the constitution of the committee in a widely circulated newspaper.

3. The learned advocate Mr. Kadam points out that not only the notice was duly published as is contemplated in law, even the *panchanamas* were conducted by affixing the notice at five offices including the head office of the co-operative bank and statutory compliances were made.

4. Learned advocate for the petitioner, on instructions, seeks leave to withdraw the writ petition with liberty to avail of appropriate remedy at an appropriate stage.

5. Dismissed as withdrawn with liberty as prayed for.

[S. G. CHAPALGAONKAR]
JUDGE

[MANGESH S. PATIL]
JUDGE

arp/