

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**CIVIL APPLICATION NO.4737 OF 2011
IN SAST/375/2011 WITH CA/4738/2011 IN SAST/375/2011**

**SAKUBAI CHANGDEO BHAGWAT L.RS. SANTOSH CHINTAMANI
DENGLE
VERSUS
KAILASH NARAYAN GIR AND ANR**

CORAM : R. M. JOSHI, J.

DATE : 29th MARCH, 2023

PER COURT :-

1. None present for the appellant.
2. Office remark shows that courts service notice of appellant is returned unserved with the remark not residing on the given address. No further steps are contemplated to be taken in this regard for procuring presence of the applicant.
3. Non appearance of the appellant is sufficient to infer that the appellant is not interested in pursuing the present second appeal (st) and civil applications filed therein. Hence second appeal (st) and civil applications are dismissed for want of prosecution.

(R. M. JOSHI, J.)

ssp