

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE OF BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

951 CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 623 OF 2022
IN FIRST APPEAL (ST) NO. 379 OF 2022

SANGITA W/O BALAJI HONMANE
VERSUS
VISHNU S/O GUNVANTRAO PATIL AND ANOTHER

AND

952 CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 626 OF 2022
IN FIRST APPEAL (ST) NO. 511 OF 2022

SANGITA W/O BALAJI HONMANE AND OTHERS
VERSUS
VISHNU S/O GUNVANTRAO PATIL AND ANOTHER

...
Mr. S.G. Rudrawar – Advocate for Applicants

....

CORAM : SANDIPKUMAR C. MORE, J.
DATE : 14th March, 2023

PER COURT :

1. Heard learned counsel for the applicants. The respondents despite service remained absent.
2. The respective applicants are seeking condonation of delay of 882 days in these applications, which appear to be caused due to their poor financial condition.

3. There is no oppose to the applications. Hence, considering the statutory right of the applicants and for the reasons stated in the applications, the applications are allowed and the delay of 882 days mentioned in these applications stands condoned subject to condition that the applicants shall not claim any interest for the period of aforesaid delay.

4. The appeals be placed for admission after removal of office objections, if any.

5. The applications are accordingly disposed of.

**[SANDIPKUMAR C. MORE]
JUDGE**

Pooja K.