

07.12.2023

17

sdas

rejected

IN THE HIGH COURT AT CALCUTTA
Circuit Bench at Jalpaiguri

C.R.M.(A) No. 966 of 2023

In Re:- An application for anticipatory bail under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure in connection with Dinhata Police Station Case No. 366 of 2023 dated 12.07.2023 under Sections 341/323/324/326/506/34 of the Indian Penal Code.

And

In Re : Mukul Chandra Barman & Ors. petitioners

Mr. Subhasish Misra

Mr. Swarup Das

Mr. Satyajit Paul

....for the petitioners

Mr. Ujjwal Luksom

Mr. Tapan Bhattacharjee

..... for the State

1. Learned Counsel for the petitioners submits they have been falsely implicated due to political rivalry. They pray for anticipatory bail.

2. Learned Counsel for the State opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail.

3. We have considered the materials on record including the statement of the victim. He has implicated the petitioners in the assault by bamboo stick and iron rod etc. He suffered head injury and was hospitalised. Under such

circumstances, we are of the opinion this is not a fit case to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

4. Application for anticipatory bail is, thus, rejected.

(Apurba Sinha Ray, J.)

(Joymalya Bagchi, J.)