

Calcutta High Court
In The Circuit Bench at Jalpaiguri
Appellate Side

23.11.2023

Serial No.13

Court No.1

CHC

C.R.M.(A) 915 of 2023

In Re:- An application for anticipatory bail under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure in connection with **Falakata** Police Station Case No. **471** of **2023** dated **20.09.2023** under Sections **406/420/306/506** of the Indian Penal Code, 1860.

And

In the matter of: **Anukul Barman**

..... petitioner

Mr. Anirban Banerjee, Advocate

Ms. Madhushri Dutta, Advocate

....for the petitioner

Mr. Nilay Chakraborty, Advocate

Mr. Aniruddha Biswas, Advocate

....for the State

Mr. Joydeep Kanta Bhowmick, Advocate

Ms. Bedashruti Bose, Advocate

...for the de fact complainant

Petitioner prays for anticipatory bail.

State and the de facto complainant are represented.

Materials in the Case Diary suggest that, the petitioner is involved in inducing members of the public on the false pretext of investment in a so-called rice mill. Apparently, petitioner is instrumental in raking up a sum of Rs. 1.20 crores from the members of the public on such account.

In view of the gravity of the offence and the involvement of the petitioner as transpiring from the materials in the Case Diary, need for custodial interrogation of the petitioner cannot be overlooked.

In such circumstances, CRM(A) 915 of 2023 is dismissed.

(Debangsu Basak, J.)

(Md. Shabbar Rashidi, J.)