

24<sup>th</sup> August, 2023  
Ct. 1  
D/L 19  
(sg)

**CIRCUIT BENCH OF CALCUTTA HIGH COURT  
AT JALPAIGURI**

**W.P.A. No.1981 of 2023**

Harendra Nath Saiba

-Vs.-

The State of West Bengal & Ors.

Mr. Anirban Chakraborty

... for the Petitioner

Ms. Bedashruti Bose

.....for the State

Affidavit of service filed in Court today is kept with the record.

The petitioner was an Assistant Teacher of a Primary School, who retired from service on 31.12.2010. The petitioner had completed all pension-related formalities. However, the concerned authorities delayed and released the arrear pension amount on 07.12.2011. The petitioner herein seeks interest to be paid on the arrear pension amount for the interim period of delay in receipt of the arrear pension amount. There is a considerable delay in filing of the writ petition, which the petitioner seeks to justify by stating that there is no statutory period of limitation and neither parties have suffered due to

this delay. It is the submission of the petitioner that accordingly the petition should be allowed. The petitioner relies upon an order in W.P. 17557(W) of 2017 (Narayan Chandra Saha Vs. State of West Bengal & Ors.) wherein a co-ordinate bench had relied upon the Supreme Court judgment in the case of Union of India Vs. Tarsem Singh, reported in (2008) 8 SCC 648 on the issue of limitation relating to payment or refixation of pay or pension wherein the Apex Court had held that relief may be granted in spite of delay as it does not affect the rights of the third party.

In view of the above and after hearing the learned counsel for the petitioner, I direct the Director of Pension, Provident Fund and Group Insurance, Government of West Bengal as also the concerned Treasury Officer to pay interest to the petitioner @ 8% per annum on the arrear pension amount calculated from 01.02.2011 till the date of payment. Such payment is to be made within a period of eight weeks from the date of communication of this order.

This writ petition is accordingly disposed of without however, any order as to costs.

Since no affidavit is called for, the allegations made in the writ petition are deemed to have denied.

Urgent certified website copy of this order, if applied  
for, be given to the parties.

(MOUSHUMI BHATTACHARYA, J.)