

22.08.2023
Court No.1
Item.51
(**sg/ks**)

CRM (A) 612 OF 2023

And

Mr. Sudip Guha

For the Petitioner.

For the State.

1. Considering the statement made by the victim girl and the gravity of the alleged offence, we are not inclined to allow the prayer for anticipatory bail.
2. The statement specifically mentions the father-in-law, mother-in-law and others, who were involved in the alleged offence.
3. CRM(A) 612 OF 2023 is accordingly rejected in terms of the above.

(PRASENJIT BISWAS,J.)

(MOUSHUMI BHATTACHARYA,J.)