

12.6.2023

Sl. No.36

ar

Rejected

**IN THE HIGH COURT AT CALCUTTA**

**Circuit Bench at Jalpaiguri**

**CRM (A) 340 of 2023**

In Re: An application for anticipatory bail under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure in connection with Kumargram Police Station Case No. 26 of 2023 dated 11.02.2023 under Sections 376/325/120B of the Indian Penal Code.

And

In Re: ***Bhola Bhujel @ Kishore***

... .. Petitioner

Mr. Arijit Ghosh

... .. for the petitioner

Ms. Aditi Shankar Chakraborty, Ld. APP

Mr. Sagnik Sankar Sikdar

... .. for the State

Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submits that the petitioner was in love relationship with the de-facto complainant for four years and he has been falsely implicated.

Learned counsel appearing for the State in opposing the prayer for anticipatory bail has relied upon the statement of the victim and submits that the petitioner and his family members were involved in the alleged commission of offence.

Having considered the materials available in the case diary and the involvement of the petitioner in the alleged commission of offence, we are not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

Accordingly, the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner stands rejected.

The application for anticipatory bail is, thus, disposed of.

All parties shall act on the server copies of this order duly downloaded from the official *website* of this court.

**(Raja Basu Chowdhury, J.)**

**(Soumen Sen J.)**