

09.6.2023

Sl. No.63
ar
Rejected

IN THE HIGH COURT AT CALCUTTA
Circuit Bench at Jalpaiguri

CRM (A) 319 of 2023

In Re: An application for anticipatory bail under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure in connection with Mekhliganj Police Station Case No. 66 of 2023 dated 12.3.2023 under Sections 447/341/323/324/354/307/379/34 of the Indian Penal Code

And

In Re: ***Sahidul Islam & Ors.***

... .. Petitioner

Ms. Madhushree Dutta

... .. for the petitioner

Mr. Ujjal Luksom
Mr. Tapan Bhattacharjee

... .. for the State

Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is innocent and he has been falsely implicated.

Learned counsel appearing for the State opposes the prayer for bail and submits that the injury suffered by the de-facto complainant.

Having considered the materials available in the case diary and the incriminating materials found in the alleged commission of offence, we are not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

Accordingly, the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner stands rejected.

The application for anticipatory bail is, thus, disposed of.

All parties shall act on the server copies of this order duly downloaded from the official *website* of this court.

(Raja Basu Chowdhury, J.)

(Soumen Sen J.)

