

09.6.2023

Sl. No.60

ar

Rejected

IN THE HIGH COURT AT CALCUTTA

Circuit Bench at Jalpaiguri

CRM (A) 316 of 2023

In Re: An application for anticipatory bail under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure in connection with Kotwali (Women) Police Station Case No. 110 of 2022 dated 30.8.2022 under Section 6 of the Protection of Children from Sexual Offences Act, 2012.

And

In Re: ***Sri Haridas Das @ Hari Das***

... .. Petitioner

Ms. Suman Sehnabis (Mandal)

... .. for the petitioner

Mr. Ujjal Luksom

Mr. Sagnik Sankar Sikdar

... .. for the State

Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is innocent and he has been falsely implicated.

Learned counsel appearing for the State opposes the prayer for bail.

Having considered the statement of the victim recorded under Section 164 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, the medical examination report and the involvement of the petitioner in the commission of alleged offence, we are not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

Accordingly, the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner stands rejected.

The application for anticipatory bail is, thus, disposed of.

All parties shall act on the server copies of this order duly downloaded from the official *website* of this court.

(Raja Basu Chowdhury, J.)

(Soumen Sen J.)