

**Calcutta High Court
In the Circuit Bench at Jalpaiguri
Appellate Jurisdiction**

W.P.A. 635 of 2023

Baidyanath Jha
-versus-
The State of West Bengal & Ors.

Mr. Krishnapada Santra
...For Petitioner

Mr. Bikramaditya Ghosh
Mr. Pretom Das
... For the State

Affidavit of service filed in Court today, is taken on record.

The petitioner was an approved **Assistant Teacher** at **Adarsha Vidyalaya Hindi School, District – Jalpaiguri**. He had retired from his employment on 3.01.2003.

The **Pension Payment Order** was issued by the concerned respondent on **21.11.2022**. The petitioner had **received Pension under the Pension Payment Order on 29.11.2022**. The petitioner was entitled to pensionary benefit from the date of retirement, the same was not paid.

The petitioner now claims interest for the delayed payment of the said arrear pension **on and from 01.02.2003**.

Mr. Hirak Barman, learned advocate appears for the State.

It is trite that, the pension, which is lawfully payable to an employee is its property and if there is any delay in making such payment and the disbursement thereof in favour of such employee, such an employee is eligible and entitle to receive interest.

In view of the above, the respondents and or the Director of Pension, Provident Fund and Group Insurance and the concerned Treasury Officer are directed to pay interest on the ***arrear pension @ 8% per annum*** on and from ***the date of retirement till the date of*** actual payment being received by the petitioner in terms of the ***revised pension payment order.***

The entire exercise as directed above, shall be carried out and completed positively by the respondents and or the ***Director of Pension, Provident Fund and Group Insurance and the concerned Treasury Officer*** within a period of ***eight weeks*** from the date of communication of this order. ***In default,*** the said arrear amounts and the entitlement of the petitioner shall carry an ***additional interest of 2% per annum*** till the date the petitioner actually receives the same.

Since affidavits are not called for, the allegations made in the writ petition are deemed not to have been admitted by the respondents.

On the above terms, this writ petition being **WPA 635 of 2023** stands disposed of, without any order as to costs.

Photostat certified copy of this order, if applied for, be furnished expeditiously.

(ANIRUDDHA ROY, J.)