

396 & 397
20.03.2025
AGM

**In The High Court At Calcutta
Special Civil Jurisdiction
Appellate Side**

**CPAN 46 of 2024
in
WPA 28857 of 2022**

**Sri Munna Sonkar
-versus
Mr. Daval Jain & Ors.**

**With
CPAN 1180 of 2024
CAN 1 of 2024**

**Amit Sonkar @ Rinku Sonkar & Ors.
-versus
Mr. Dabal Jain & Ors.**

**Mr. Rachit Lakhmani,
Mr. Swapan Nath,
Mr. S. Banerjee,
Mr. B.S. Purohit,
Mr. D.K. Sharma.
... For the Applicants.**

**Mr. Sandipan Banerjee,
Mr. Ankit Sureka,
Ms. Shetparna Ray.
...For the HMC.**

**Ms. Susmita Chatterjee.
...For the Contemnor
Nos. 4 & 5.**

1. None represents the petitioner in CPAN 46 of 2024.
2. Learned advocate representing the contemnor/Corporation submits, upon instruction that, the unauthorised construction has been demolished as far as practicable.
3. Learned advocate appearing for the petitioners in CPAN 1180 of 2024 submits that the

Corporation ought not to carry out any further demolition work.

4. Be that as it may, as it appears that the petitioner in CPAN 46 of 2024 is not represented today, the contempt application stands dismissed for default.

5. CPAN 1180 of 2024 stands disposed of as according to the Corporation, the demolition work has already been carried out as far as practicable.

6. Urgent certified photocopy of this order, if applied for, be supplied to the parties or their advocates on record expeditiously on compliance of usual legal formalities.

(Amrita Sinha, J.)