

67
22.02.2023
B.B.

**In The High Court At Calcutta
Constitutional Writ Jurisdiction
Appellate Side**

W.P.A. 28514 of 2022

**Saroj Sharma & Anr.
-versus
Asansol Municipal Corporation & Ors.**

**Mr. Srijib Chakraborty.
Mr. Sunny Nandy.
Mr. Anand Jha.
Mr. Subho Pathak.
...For the Petitioners.**

**Mr. Santanu Chatterjee.
Mr. Sounak Bhattacharya.
...For Asansol Municipal
Corporation.**

**Mr. Apurba Kumar Dutta.
Mr. Kuntal Banerjee.
...For the Private Respondent
no. 7.**

Affidavit-of-service filed in Court today is taken on record.

The petitioners allege illegal and unauthorized construction by the private respondent without any sanctioned.

The petitioner complains that the objection raised against such illegal construction has not been considered by the respondent authorities till date.

Learned advocate for the private respondent denies the allegation of the petitioners.

As it appears that the representation of the petitioners objecting to the illegal and unauthorized construction is pending consideration at the end of the respondent authorities, no useful purpose will be served by keeping the writ petition pending.

The writ petition is accordingly disposed of by directing the respondent no.2 or his delegate to consider and dispose of the representation made by the petitioners strictly in accordance with law, after giving an opportunity of hearing to all the necessary parties including the petitioners within a period of three months from the date of communication of a copy of this order. The said respondent shall pass a reasoned order and communicate the same to all the necessary parties including the petitioner immediately thereafter.

In the event the aforesaid respondent is of the considered opinion that the construction has been made either in violation of the plan sanctioned or devoid the sanction plan, then necessary steps shall be taken to deal with such unauthorized construction, in accordance with law.

The aforesaid respondent shall restrict the consideration of the representation with regard to unauthorized construction only and not enter into or decide any private dispute of the parties regarding right, title and interest in respect of the aforesaid land.

It is made clear that this Court has not entered into the merits of the claim made by the petitioners and all points are left open to be decided by the aforesaid

respondent at the time of consideration of the representation of the petitioners.

Learned advocate for the petitioners is directed to forward a copy of the representation dated 21st February, 2022 to the aforesaid respondent at the time of communicating the order of the Court.

The writ petition stands disposed of.

Urgent certified photocopy of this order, if applied for, be supplied to the parties expeditiously on compliance of usual legal formalities.

(Amrita Sinha, J.)