

WPA 28169 of 2022

Priyanka Pandey
-VS-
The State of West Bengal & ors.

Mr. Rudranil De
...for the petitioner
Mr. Susovan Sengupta
Mr. Abdus Salam
...for the State respondent

Leave is granted to the petitioner to correct the prayer portion.

This is an application filed on behalf of the petitioner under Article 226 of the Constitution of India with a prayer that the respondent should issue license of FPS at Purulia-II, Cheprikarcha on the basis of the online application of the petitioner dated 12.06.2022.

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner submits as follows. On 10.05.2022, a notification was issued for vacancy of FPS at Purulia-II, Cheprikarcha. The petitioner applied online on 12.06.2022 and submitted all the documents along with the application. An inquiry was conducted on 13.07.2022. However, in the meantime, the petitioner was told verbally that his application was rejected because the documents accompanying the application were filed after the date on which the application was made. But, this is not correct. A representation was made by the petitioner in this regard. By suppressing the actual fact, the respondent, by letter dated 09.11.2022, intimated the

petitioner that inquiry in respect of the vacancy is still pending.

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the State submits as follows. This application is absolutely premature and based on surmises and conjectures. As has been intimated to the petitioner, the inquiry in respect of the vacancy notification is still pending.

I have heard the submissions of the learned counsels appearing on behalf of the parties and have perused the writ petition.

From the reply given by the respondent authorities, it appears that the inquiry into the vacancy notification is still pending. This has been confirmed by the learned counsel for the State, on instruction.

Therefore, there was no occasion for the present petitioner to have moved this Court by filing this application.

I do not find any merit in this application.

Accordingly, the same is dismissed.

However, there shall be no order as to costs.

Urgent photostat certified copies of this order may be delivered to the learned Advocates for the parties, if applied for, upon compliance of all formalities.

(Jay Sengupta, J.)