

14.09.2023

BP
Court No. 24
Sl. 304

In the High Court At Calcutta
Constitutional Writ Jurisdiction
Appellate Side

RVW 261 of 2022
With
CAN 1 of 2023
In
WPA 3116 of 2022

Biplab Kumar Chowdhury
Vs.
State of West Bengal & Ors.

Mr. Kallol Basu
Mr. Syed Chandan Hossain
Mr. Samik Sarkar
..for the applicant

Mr. Sandip Ghosh
Mr. Sudarshan Roy
Mr. Gautam Chakraborty
..for the North Dum Dum Municipality

Mr. Moloy Singh
Mr. Tapas Ballav Mandal
..for the State

The petitioner seeks review of the order dated 22nd September, 2022. It appears that the writ petition stood dismissed on the said date upon imposition of costs. The direction passed by the Court has been acted upon and costs have been paid by the petitioner.

The petitioner submits that the said writ petition stood disposed of without granting proper opportunity to the petitioner to controvert the allegation made against him.

It appears that the Court relied upon the information provided by the learned advocate representing the private respondent which was a list of

cases obtained from the official website of this Court containing details of 195 cases where the petitioner is a party. In most matters he is in the status of petitioner and in a couple of matters he is the respondent.

The grounds made out in the review application are not maintainable. The instant review application cannot be admitted on the grounds set out in the memorandum of review. In the event the petitioner is aggrieved by the order, the petitioner ought to seek remedy before the appropriate forum.

The review application fails and is hereby dismissed.

(Amrita Sinha, J.)