

Ct. 17.8
No. 652
2023
86
akb

C.O. 4359 of 2018
Asim Das
-Versus-
Abirlal Manna

Mr. Anil Kumar Chattopadhyay
Mr. Dinesh Pani **...For the Petitioner**

Service of notice upon the learned Counsel appeared on behalf of the petitioner is taken on record.

Petitioner is not represented in spite of service of notice.

Accordingly, there is sufficient reason to believe that the petitioner is no longer interested to proceed with the application.

The revisional application, being C.O. 4359 of 2018 is dismissed for default.

Interim order, if any, stands vacated.

There will be no order as to costs.

(Ajoy Kumar Mukherjee, J.)