

01
05.04.2023
AK

IN THE HIGH COURT AT CALCUTTA
CONSTITUTIONAL WRIT JURISDICTION
APPELLATE SIDE

W.P.A. No. 26580 of 2008

Uday Narayan Ghosh
Vs.
State Bank of India & Ors.

Mr. Saibal Acharjee,
Mr. Ambu Bindu Chakraborty,
Ms. Mronmoyee Roy Chowdhury
...for the petitioner

Mr. Sudip Pal Choudhuri,
Ms. Diya Nandi
...for the respondents

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in paragraph no.11 of the order dated February 24, 2023, instead of “CGM (Law)”, it was transcribed as “AGM (Law)”.

However, it appears from Annexure-P4 at page-51 of the writ petition that the endorsement in the right hand column as well as the communication at the bottom of the page were made by the AGM (Law).

As such, there is no necessity to effect any alteration in the said order.

Since the writ petition has already been disposed of, no further order need be passed.

(Sabyasachi Bhattacharyya, J.)