

11.05.2023
S/L. No. 11+12
Court No.12
Suvayan/
Sourav

MAT 1924 of 2016
With
MAT 922 of 2016

Sk Jiad Ali & Ors.
Vs.
Pradip Kumar Pati & Ors.

Mr. S. P. Pahari
Mr. A. Pradhan
Mr. G. Purkayastha

...for the appellants.

Mr. Susovan Sengupta
Mr. Subir Pal

..for the State.

Mr. Debabrata Saha Roy
Mr. Neil Basu

... for the private respondent.

In Re: MAT 1924 of 2016

1. Heard Mr. Pradhan, learned Counsel for the appellants, Mr. Sengupta, learned Counsel for the State and Mr. Saha Roy, learned Counsel for the private respondent.
2. It is fairly submitted by Mr. Pradhan that though the present appeal was filed against the rejection of the application for intervention by the present petitioner, the writ petition having been disposed of in the meantime and the petitioner having already filed MAT 922 of 2016 against the final order passed in the writ petition, this appeal being MAT 1924 of 2016 has become infructuous.
3. Accordingly, this appeal being **MAT 1924 of 2016** is disposed of as infructuous.

In Re: MAT 922 of 2016

1. Mr. Pradhan, learned Counsel for the appellant wants certified copy of the memorandum of appeal as he is stated to have lost the same.
2. The file be sent back to the department and on proper application with proper fee, certified copy of the memorandum of appeal be supplied to Mr. Pradhan, learned Counsel for the appellant.
3. List this matter on June 28, 2023 under the heading “For Hearing”.

(Chitta Ranjan Dash, J.)

(Partha Sarathi Sen, J.)