

WPA 23814 of 2023

Sk. Arif Rahaman

-vs-

The State of West Bengal & ors.

Mr. Jagannath Ganguly

Mr. Tanmay Manna

...for the petitioner

Mr. Suman Ghosh

Mr. Siddhartha Ghosh

...for the State

Affidavit of service filed on behalf of the petitioner is taken on record.

Despite service, no one appears on behalf of the private respondent.

Report filed on behalf of the State is also taken on record.

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner submits as follows. The petitioner had to lodge a second complaint to have the FIR registered before the police station. However, no investigation is being done despite passage of significant period of time.

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the State denies the allegations of police inaction, relies the report and submits that investigation is going on. Statements have been recorded. Searches have been conducted including surveillance through CDR tower location and the like.

It appears that the police have taken some action in

investigating the case. However, some time has already lapsed.

Let the investigation be concluded as expeditiously as possible and in accordance with law.

With these observations, the writ petition is disposed of.

Urgent photostat certified copy of this order be supplied to the parties, if applied for, as early as possible.

(Jay Sengupta, J.)