

05.10.2023.
44.
Ct.No.28
as
(Rejected)

C.R.M. (DB) 3840 of 2023

In Re:- An application for bail under Section 439 of the Code of Criminal Procedure in connection with Hariharpara P. S. Case No.127 of 2022 dated 23.03.2022 under Section 392 of the Indian Penal Code and Sections 25/27 of the Arms Act and adding Sections 395/412 of the Indian Penal Code and charge sheet submitted under Sections 392/395/412 of the Indian Penal Code.

In the matter of : **Masum Reja Mondal @ Bullet.**
... Petitioner.

Mr. Kaushik Chowdhury,
Mr. Sabyasachi Hazra,
Mr. Samaresh Ch. Dhara.

...for the Petitioner.

Mr. Sudip Ghosh,
Mr. Bitasok Banerjee.

...for the State.

1. Petitioner is in custody for one and half year. He prays for bail.
2. Learned Advocate for the State opposes the prayer for bail. He submits trial is in progress.
3. We have considered the materials on record. There are ample materials disclosing involvement of the petitioner in the dacoity. Trial is in progress.
4. Hence, we are not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner.
5. Accordingly, the prayer for bail of the petitioner is rejected.
6. Trial Court is requested to conclude the trial as expeditiously as possible preferably within two years from the next date fixed for recording prosecution witnesses

without granting unnecessary adjournment to either of the parties.

(Gaurang Kanth, J.)

(Joymalya Bagchi, J.)