

11.12.2023
Sl. No. 41.
D/L.
Mithun
Ct.No.14.

WPA 23593 of 2023

**Saheb Manna
Vs.
The State of West Bengal & Ors.**

Mr. Manojit Pal

...for the petitioner.

Mr. Nilratan Banerjee

...for respondent No.7.

Mr. Jayanta Samanta, Jr. Govt. Adv.

Mr. Supriya Majumder

...for the State.

Affidavit of service filed on behalf of the
petitioners is taken on record.

Report filed on behalf of the State is also taken
on record.

Learned Counsel on behalf of the petitioner
submits as follows. The petitioner is the owner of the
land in question. He wants to put a boundary wall to
surround his land in order to protect it. He prayed for
police help.

Learned Counsel appearing on behalf of the
private respondents submits as follows. The
allegations made in the writ petition are denied. The
petitioner is a good friend of the private respondent
and there is no question of the private respondent

objecting to petitioner putting a boundary wall on his land. They have not disturbed and they would not disturb the petitioner for putting up boundary wall on his land.

Learned Counsel appearing on behalf of the State submits that a dispute has been raised regarding putting of a boundary wall and alleged encroachment.

Therefore, no further order need be passed in this regard. However, the police shall keep a strict vigil at the locale and ensure that no breach of peace takes place.

With these observations, the instant writ petition is disposed of.

Urgent photostat certified copy of this order, if applied for, be supplied to the parties subject to compliance with all requisite formalities.

(Jay Sengupta, J.)