

MAT 1107 of 2013

with

I.A NO: CAN **1 of 2013** (Old NO: CAN 7582 of 2013),
CAN 6 of 2013 (Old No. 10721 of 2013), CAN 7 of
2013 (Old No. 10724 of 2013), CAN 8 of 2013 (Old No.
10725 of 2013), CAN **9 of 2013** (Old NO: CAN 10737
of 2013), CAN 10 of 2013 (Old No. 10738 of 2013),
CAN 11 of 2013 (Old No. 10739 of 2013), CAN 12 of
2013 (Old No. 10832 of 2013), CAN **13 of 2013** (Old
NO: CAN 10833 of 2013), CAN 14 of 2013 (Old No.
10834 of 2013), CAN 15 of 2013 (Old No. 10864 of
2013), CAN 16 of 2013 (Old No. 11665 of 2013), CAN
17 of 2013 (Old NO: CAN 12395 of 2013), CAN 18 of
2013 (Old No. 12569 of 2013), CAN 19 of 2014 (Old
No. 1244 of 2014), CAN 25 of 2014 (Old No. 12308 of
2014), CAN 28 of 2015 (Old No. 6580 of 2015), CAN 29
of 2015 (Old No. 9017 of 2015), CAN **30 of 2015** (Old
NO: CAN 10517 of 2015), CAN 31 of 2015 (Old No.
10518 of 2015), CAN 32 of 2015 (Old No. 11220 of
2015), CAN 33 of 2015 (Old No. 11227 of 2015), CAN
34 of 2016 (Old No. 4256 of 2016), CAN 35 of 2016
(Old No. 4259 of 2016), CAN **37 of 2016** (Old NO:
CAN 8346 of 2016), CAN 39 of 2017 (Old No. 1075 of
2017), CAN 47 of 2017 (Old No. 8667 of 2017), CAN
51 of 2018 (Old No. 852 of 2018), CAN 52 of 2018 (Old
No. 2742 of 2018), CAN **53 of 2019** (Old NO: CAN
1169 of 2019), CAN 54 of 2019 (Old No. 4751 of
2019), CAN 55 of 2019 (Old No. 4752 of 2019), CAN 56
of 2020, CAN 57 of 2020), CAN 58 of 2020, CAN 59 of
2022 , CAN 60 of 2022, CAN 61 of 2022 , CAN 62 of
2023, CAN 63 of 2023

State of West Bengal & Ors.

-VS

Tata Steel Limited

Mr. S. N. Mookherjee, Ld. Advocate General

Mr. A. Ray,

Mr. Debasish Ghosh,

Mr. T. Chakraborty

...for the appellants/State

Mr. Sounak Basu, Advocate

...for the Tata Steel

This is an application seeking to settle the disputes under the provisions of Section 4A of the West Bengal Sales Tax (Settlement of Disputes) Act, 1999.

The application is at the behest of the private-respondent.

The private-respondent seeks to settle disputes within the meaning of the Act of 1999 and seeks leave to do so.

The prayer for settlement made by the private respondent is not opposed on behalf of the State.

In such circumstances, leave under Section 4A of the Act of 1999 is granted to the private-respondent to enter into settlement with the State.

In view of the private respondent seeking to settle the disputes under the Act of 1999, the appeal being MAT/1107/2013 becomes infructuous and is, thus, disposed of.

All connected applications in the appeal are also disposed of.

(Debangsu Basak, J.)

(Md. Shabbar Rashidi, J.)

