

29.09.2023
Sl. No.58
akd
[Rejected]

C. R. M. (A) 4238 of 2023

In Re: An application for anticipatory bail under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure filed on 13.09.2023 in connection with Panchasayar Police Station Case No.09 of 2020 dated 03.02.2020 under Sections 120B/420/467/468/471 of the Indian Penal Code. (A.C.G.R. Case No.493 of 2020)

And

In Re: ***Pintu Mondal***

... .. Petitioner

Mrs. Pampa Dey Dhabal
Mr. Nikhil Kumar Gupta

... .. for the petitioner

Mr. Saswata Gopal Mukherji .. Id. Public Prosecutor
Ms. Faria Hossain
Mr. Anand Kesari

... .. for the State

1. It is submitted on behalf of the petitioner that co-accused have been granted regular bail. He had no opportunity to represent his case when the matter was rejected by a coordinate Bench of this court.
2. Learned Advocate for the State opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail and submits petitioner and co-accused cheated unsuspecting youths on the false promise of giving employment.
3. We have considered the materials on record. Allegations are serious. Anticipatory bail was rejected on merits. Co-accused have been granted regular bail. Grant of regular bail and anticipatory bail stand on different parameters. Hence, we do not find any reason to reconsider the prayer for anticipatory bail.
4. Accordingly, the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner stands rejected.

(Partha Sarathi Sen, J.)

(Joymalya Bagchi, J.)

