

M/L46
09.10.2023
Bpg.

C.R.R.3573 of 2023

In Re: An application under Section 483 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973;

Mojammel Sk @ Mojammel Sekh
Versus
The State of West Bengal

Mr. Tapodip Gupta
Mr. Suman Bhanja.
...for the petitioner.

Mr. Arijit Ganguly
Mr. Sanjib Kumar Dan.
...for the State.

Petitioner is directed to serve a copy of the revisional application upon Mr. Sanjib Kumar Dan, learned advocate, who ordinarily appears on behalf of the State. His appointment may be regularised by the concerned authorities.

Learned advocate for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is in custody for one year three months and the learned special court in spite of charge-sheet being submitted has not till date been able to frame the charges. According to the learned advocate, there are 15 witnesses.

Learned trial court is directed that it would fix the schedule after the charges are framed at least once in every two months so that the trial of the case can taken to its logical conclusion within a reasonable period of time. No unnecessary adjournment should be granted to either of the parties. All

stakeholders should co-operate with the learned trial court so that the trial is concluded at the earliest.

With the aforesaid observations, CRR 3573 of 2023 is disposed of.

Pending application, if any, is consequently disposed of.

All parties shall act on the server copy of this order duly downloaded from the official website of this Court.

Urgent photostat certified copy of this order, if applied for, be supplied to the parties upon compliance of all requisite formalities.

(Tirthankar Ghosh, J.)