

D/L42
18.09.2023
Bpg.

C.R.R.3526 of 2023

In Re: An application under Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure;

Md. Raju Ansari @ Rejauddin @ Rejaul Ansari
Versus
The State of West Bengal and another

Mr. Kallol Kumar Basu
Mr. Anindya Sundar Das.
...for the petitioner.

Mr. Tanmay Kumar Ghosh
Ms. Sujata Das.
...for the State.

Petitioner is directed to serve a copy of the revisional application upon Mr. Tanmay Kumar Ghosh, learned advocate, who ordinarily appears on behalf of the State. His appointment may be regularised by the concerned authorities.

Mr. Basu, learned advocate appearing for the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in connection with the instant case and his name has only been assigned in the list of accused persons without describing his role in participation of the offence. Learned advocate has also drawn the attention to the statement of the witnesses.

I have considered the same and having regard to the advanced stage of the case before the learned court, I am not inclined to interfere at this stage. Petitioner would be at liberty to canvass the points which have been addressed in the present revisional application at the stage of Section 227 of the Code of Criminal Procedure before the learned trial court. Learned trial

court will consider the same in accordance with law without being influenced by any observations made by this Court while disposing of the present revisional application.

With the aforesaid observations, CRR 3526 of 2023 is disposed of.

Pending application, if any, is consequently disposed of.

All parties shall act on the server copy of this order duly downloaded from the official website of this Court.

Urgent photostat certified copy of this order, if applied for, be supplied to the parties upon compliance of all requisite formalities.

(Tirthankar Ghosh, J.)