

28.11.2023
Sl. No. 65
Suman
Ct.No.24.

WPA 19594 of 2023

Prasenjit Roy
Vs.
The State of West Bengal
and Ors.

Mr. Sunny Nandy
Mr. Amit Chowdhury
Ms. Sushmita Kumari Singh
..for the respondent No.10

Mr. Arun Kumar Mondal
Mr. Satyam Mukherjee
..for the State

Mr. Sandipan Banerjee
Mr. Ankit Sureka
Mr. Sobhan Majumdar
..for the Howrah Municipal Corporation

Learned advocate on record for the petitioner submits that his client is no longer contacting with him and, accordingly, he is not representing the petitioner in Court today.

The private respondent No.10 is represented by the learned advocate. The private respondent denies the allegation of unauthorized construction. It has been submitted that construction has been made in accordance with the sanctioned plan.

Howrah Municipal Corporation and the State are also represented.

It appears from the averment and the prayer made in the writ petition that allegation is in respect of the unauthorized construction at 151, Benaras Road, Salkia, P.S. Golabari, Howrah, Ward No.10 under the jurisdiction of the Howrah Municipal Corporation.

As the petitioner is not represented, the writ petition stands dismissed for default.

Dismissal of the writ petition will, however, not stand in the way of the Howrah Municipal Corporation to take steps against any unauthorized construction at the subject premises in accordance with law.

(Amrita Sinha, J.)