

August 16, 2023
AD-20
Ct. 34
SG

IN THE HIGH COURT AT CALCUTTA
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CRR 3009 of 2023

In the matter of: Nitika Agarwal @ Jindal
... petitioner

Ms. Amrita Pandey
... for the petitioner.

Mr. Arijit Ganguly
Mr. Koushik Kundu
... for the State.

Petitioner is directed to serve a copy of the revisional application upon Mr. Ganguly, learned advocate, who ordinarily appears on behalf of the State. His appointment may be regularised by the concerned authorities.

Learned advocate for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is not inclined to pursue the revisional application.

Accordingly, CRR 3009 of 2023 is dismissed as not pressed with liberty to file afresh.

Learned advocate for the petitioner would apply to the department for return of the certified copy.

Department is directed to return the certified copy to the advocate on record on the same being replaced by photostat copy.

All parties shall act on the server copy of this order duly downloaded from the official website of this Court.

(Tirthankar Ghosh, J.)