

19 06.09.2023
NB Ct. 14

WPA 19354 of 2023

Soumen Chakraborty
Vs.
The State of West Bengal & Ors.

Mr. Shovan Bandyopadhyay.
...for the petitioner.

Mr. Rajarshi Basu,
Mr. S. T. Mina.
....for the State.

The prime contention of the petitioner is that in a complaint case filed by the petitioner under Section 138 of the Negotiable Instruments Act, although a warrant of arrest was issued to the private respondent who is a resident of Maharastra, the same was not executed.

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the State submits that it is for the petitioner to ventilate such grievance before the learned Trial Court and pray for an appropriate relief.

The writ petition is disposed of with the liberty granted to the petitioner to pray for appropriate relief before the learned Trial Court in respect of the alleged non-execution of warrant of arrest.

Urgent photostat certified copy of this order may be supplied to the parties expeditiously, if applied for.

(Jay Sengupta, J.)

