

Court No. 22
24.8.2023
(Item No. 65)
(AB)

IN THE HIGH COURT AT CALCUTTA
Constitutional Writ Jurisdiction
Appellate Side

W.P.A. 17823 of 2018

Paban Kumar Mandi
VS
The State of West Bengal & Ors

Mr. Anindya Bhattacharya
.... For the petitioner

The previous order speaks for itself.

Today, the writ petition appeared under the heading **“For Dismissal”**.

Mr. Anindya Bhattacharya, learned advocate appears for the writ petitioner. He submits that, during pendency of the writ petition the petitioner has received the higher pay scale. Hence, no further cause survives in this writ petition and the writ petition has become infructuous.

None appears for the respondents.

In view of the above, this writ petition being **W.P.A. 17823 of 2018** stands **“dismissed as infructuous”**.

Interim order, if any, stands vacated.

(Aniruddha Roy, J.)