

25.08.2023
Sl. No.32(DL)
srm

C.O. No. 2551 of 2023

Gouranga Mullick

Versus

Kajal Bhattacharyya & Anr.

Mr. Jayanta Narayan Chatterjee,
Mr. Debashs Banerjee,
Ms. Moumita Pandit,
Mr. Supreem Naskar,
Ms. Jayashree Patra,
Ms. Pritha Sinha,
Mr. Bhaskar Mondal

...for the Petitioner.

The petitioner is the maternal grandfather of the minor who seeks expeditious disposal of the Act VIII Case No.59 of 2017 along with the application for interim visitation. The proceedings are pending before the learned Additional District Judge, 3rd Court at Alipore, South 24-Parganas.

The petitioner submits that the application for interim visitation has been pending since long and the child is at the stage of attaining majority.

An order of expeditious disposal of any litigation enures to the benefit of all the parties and hence prior service of this revisional application upon the opposite parties is not required. The prayer is innocuous.

Considering the situation and the long pendency of the matters, this Court is of the view that the application for interim visitation should be disposed by the learned court below within a week from the next date fixed, independently and strictly in accordance with law, upon granting adequate opportunity to the parties to contest the same. Thereafter, the Act VIII case should be disposed of within a period of four months from the date of disposal of the application. Unnecessary adjournments shall not be granted to any of the parties.

This Court has neither gone into the merits of the Act VIII case nor into the merits of application.

A copy of the revisional application, along with a server copy of this order, be served upon the opposite parties within a week.

The revisional application is, thus, disposed of.

There will be, however, no order as to costs.

Parties are to act on the basis of the server copy of this order.

(Shampa Sarkar, J.)