

04.08.2023

10
sdas
rejected

C.R.M. (A) No. 3219 of 2023

In Re:- An application for anticipatory bail under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure in connection with Kaliganj Police Station Case No. 626 of 2022 dated 23.09.2022 under Sections 20(b)/25/29 of the NDPS Act.

And

In Re : Naren Sarkar petitioner

Mr. Arnab Chatterjee
Mr. Amanul Islam
Mr. Sourav Mukherjee
Ms. Dhanasree Biswas
Ms. Poulami Bose

... for the petitioner

Mr. Saibal Bapuli, learned APP
Mr. Soumik Ganguli

... for the State

Learned Counsel for the petitioner submits he has been falsely implicated. No narcotic substance has been recovered from his possession. Investigation is complete.

Learned Counsel for the State opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail and submits there are CDRs containing telephonic conversations between the petitioner and co-accused from whom narcotic substance has been recovered.

We have considered the materials on record. No narcotic substance has been recovered from the possession of the petitioner. CDRs show telephonic conversations between the petitioner and the co-accused. In view of the aforesaid circumstances, we are not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

The application for anticipatory bail is, thus, rejected.

(Gaurang Kanth, J.)

(Joymalya Bagchi, J.)