

24-01-2023
Item No.155 ML
Subrata
Bhattacharyya

IN THE HIGH COURT AT CALCUTTA
Constitutional writ Jurisdiction
Appellate Side

WPA No.17645 of 2021
Subhajit Paul
-vs-
The State of West Bengal & Ors.

Ms. Sohini Chakraborty
Ms. Prajaaini Das ...for the petitioner

Mr. Bhaskar Ghosh
Mr. Siddhartha Paul ...for respondents no.7 & 8

Learned advocate representing the petitioner submits, upon instructions, that the writ petition has become infructuous as the Asansol Municipal Corporation already considered the objection filed by his client and passed necessary order.

Learned senior advocate representing the seventh and eighth respondents submits, upon instructions, that an opportunity of hearing was given to the petitioner as well as his clients and necessary order has been passed by the Corporation.

In view of the submission made hereinabove, it appears that the reliefs sought for by the petitioner in the present writ petition has already been granted by the Corporation, and hence nothing remains to be decided in the present writ petition. The writ petition is thus disposed of.

Affidavit-in-opposition filed on behalf of the seventh and eighth respondents be taken on record.

Leave is granted to the learned advocate-on-record for the seventh and eighth respondents to correct the nomenclature of the opposition filed in court today which has wrongly been marked as affidavit-in-reply.

Certified copy of this order, if applied for, shall be made available to the parties.

[Amrita Sinha, J]