

16.08.2023
Sl. No.01(S/L)
as
[REJECTED]

C. R. M. (A) 2851 of 2023

In Re: An application for anticipatory bail under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure in connection with Ratua Police Station Case No. 335 of 2023 dated 27.06.2023 under Sections 66E/67A of the Information Technologies Act and Section 354 of the Indian Penal Code.

In Re: ***Nokul Chandra Ghosh @ Nakul Ch. Ghosh.***

... .. Petitioner

Mr. Soupal Chatterjee,
Mr. Abhijit Mondal,
Ms. Sucheta Banerjee.

... .. for the petitioner

Mr. Saryati Datta.

... .. for the State

1. Petitioner submits he did not upload and circulate obscene pictures of the victim. He prays for anticipatory bail.
2. Learned Advocate for the State opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail. He submits he had motive to commit the crime and had uploaded obscene pictures.
3. We have considered the materials on record. Statements of the victim and others show petitioner had taken a loan from her. Thereafter, he paid the amount but uploaded obscene pictures on the social media. Investigation of the crime would require custodial interrogation to verify the alleged facts.
4. Under such circumstances, we are of the opinion this is not a fit case to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.
5. Accordingly, the prayer for anticipatory bail is rejected.

(Gaurang Kanth, J.)

(Joymalya Bagchi, J.)