

17.07.2023.
PB
Sl. No.4.

WPA 13681 of 2023

Shyamali Jana
Vs
Union of India & Ors.

Mr. Arun Kr. Upadhyay,
Mr. Partha Sen.
... For the Petitioner.
Mr. K. K. Maiti,
Ms. Manasi Mukherjee.
.....for the respondents.

Heard learned advocates appearing for the parties.

The limited scope of grievance in the writ petition raised by the petitioner is about non-service of any show-cause notice upon the petitioner before the issuance of any notice of hearing on such show-cause notice and not getting any opportunity to file response/ objection to such show-cause notice.

Mr. Maiti, learned advocate appearing for the respondent CGST authority by taking a fair stand and without going into the dispute of service of notice serves in Court a copy of the show-cause notice dated 13th October, 2020 to Mr. Upadhyay, learned advocate appearing for the petitioner in Court.

Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, I am of the view that no useful purpose will be

served in keeping the writ petition pending and accordingly, it is disposed of by granting opportunity to the petitioner to file reply/response/objection to the aforesaid show-cause notice within four weeks from date on the issue of legality and validity of such notice and upon receipt of such reply/response/objection the respondent authority concerned will consider and dispose of the same in accordance with law by passing a reasoned and speaking order after giving an opportunity of hearing to the petitioner or her authorized representatives within a period of eight weeks from the date of receipt of the same.

With this observation and direction, this writ petition being WPA 13681 of 2023 is disposed of.

(Md. Nizamuddin, J.)