

Ct. 09.06
No. 14 2023
6
akb

W.P.A. 13028 of 2023
Swapan Kumar Roy Kanjilal
-Versus-
The State of West Bengal & Ors.

Mr. Sakti Pada Jana
Mr. Subhajyoti Das **...For the Petitioner**

Mr. Sirsanya Bandyopadhyay
Ms. Tapati Samanta **...For the State Respondents**

Mr. Sakti Pada Jana, learned Counsel for the petitioner submits that he came to know today that his client filed an another writ application, being W.P.A. 976 of 2021 on the self-same cause of action. He further submits that his client did not inform him that previously he filed such a writ application. Under such circumstances, learned Counsel tenders unconditional apology for doing wrong by his client and submits that this writ application be dismissed for non-prosecution.

I have heard learned Counsel appearing for the State respondents.

As I find from a photocopy of a writ application being W.P.A. 976 of 2021, the petitioner has filed this writ application on the self-same subject matter as involved in this writ application.

However, since the learned Counsel tenders unconditional apology for filing the writ application on wrong instruction I feel that the writ application may be dismissed for non-prosecution.

Accordingly, the writ application is dismissed for non-prosecution.

There shall be no order as to costs.

(Rabindranath Samanta, J.)

