

**16.05.2023**

Sl.20

Court No.29

(AD)

(Rejected)

**C.R.M. (A) 2008 of 2023**

In Re: - An application for anticipatory bail under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure in connection with Sessions Case No.181/2022 arising out of **Haringhata** Police Station Case No.**68 of 2022** dated **18.03.2022** under Sections **448/354/324/325/307/506/34** of the Indian Penal Code, 1860.

And

In the matter of: **Sukhen Hira**

....petitioner.

Mr. Sandip Ray  
Mr. Hiranmoy Debnath  
Ms. Polly Banerjee

... for the petitioner.

Mr. Rudradipta Nandy, Ld. APP  
Ms. Sonali Das

...for the State.

Petitioner renews the prayer for anticipatory bail.

The only circumstance which the petitioner contends to be change in circumstance is the education that the petitioner seeks to undertake.

The petition is bereft of material particulars so far as the education that the petitioner seeks to pursue or is pursuing.

However, on instructions, learned Advocate appearing for the petitioner relies upon certain documents relating to the education that the petitioner is pursuing.

The documents sought to be relied upon with regard to the education that the petitioner seeks to pursue, are not change in circumstances. They were available at the time when the first application for anticipatory bail was rejected. Nothing new transpired subsequent to the earlier rejection.

Moreover, subsequent to the first order of rejection, police

applied for and obtained proclamation and warrant of attachment as against the petitioner.

In such circumstances, we are unable to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

Accordingly, the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner is rejected.

**C.R.M. (A) 2008 of 2023** is dismissed.

**(Debangsu Basak, J.)**

**(Md. Shabbar Rashidi, J.)**