

Item No.14

In The High Court At Calcutta
Special Jurisdiction
Appellate Side

29.03.2023
Ct-24

WPCRC 106 of 2022
Samir Bhattacharya
v.
Shri Debasis Ghosh & Anr.
in
WPA 2235 of 2020

Mr. Srijib Chakraborty
Mr. Aditya Mondal
... for the petitioner.

Mr. Tarak Karan
... for the State.

Mr. Sirsanya Bondopadhyay
Mr. Tirthankar Dey
Mr. Arka Kumar Nag
... for BMC.

Photographs have been submitted by the petitioner as well as the alleged contemnors. It appears therefrom that the order passed by the Court has been substantially complied with.

The petitioner submits that there are certain portions in the backside of the stall which is required to be maintained by the Bidhannagar Municipal Corporation.

Learned advocate representing the alleged contemnors submits that the backside of the petitioner's shop room has already been repaired.

It will be open for the petitioner to apply before the Corporation for necessary relief.

No further order is required to be passed in the present contempt application.

The contempt application stands disposed of.

Urgent certified photocopy of this order, if applied for, be supplied to the parties expeditiously on compliance of usual legal formalities.

Sh

(Amrita Sinha, J.)