

28.04.2023.
26.
Ct.No.28
as
(Allowed)

C.R.M. (DB) 1721 of 2023

In Re:- An application for bail under Section 439 of the Code of Criminal Procedure in connection with Hare Street P. S. Case No.169 of 2020 dated 06.07.2020 under Sections 120B/420/467/468/471 of the Indian Penal Code.

In the matter of : Pradip Roy.

.... Petitioner.

Mr. Abhimanyu Banerjee,
Mr. Arnab Saha.

...for the Petitioner.

Mr. Madhusudan Sur, Id. A.P.P.
Mr. Dipankar Paramanick.

...for the State.

Petitioner is in custody for more than one thousand days. He submits there is little possibility of trial concluding in the near future. Co-accused have been enlarged on bail. Hence, he prays for bail.

Learned Advocate for the State opposes the bail prayer.

We have considered the materials on record. Co-accused have been enlarged on bail. Petitioner stands on the same footing with the said co-accused.

Under such circumstances, we are inclined to extend the same privilege to the petitioner also.

Accordingly, the petitioner viz., Pradip Roy shall be released on bail upon furnishing a bond of Rs.10,000/- with two sureties of like amount each, one of whom must be local, to the satisfaction of the learned Additional Chief Metropolitan Magistrate-I, Calcutta subject to condition that he shall appear before the trial court on every date of hearing until further

orders and shall not intimidate witnesses or tamper with evidence in any manner whatsoever.

In the event the petitioner fails to appear before the Trial Court without any justifiable cause, the trial Court shall be at liberty to cancel his bail in accordance with law without further reference to this Court.

The application for bail is, thus, disposed of.

(Ajay Kumar Gupta, J.)

(Joymalya Bagchi, J.)