

April 17, 2023
Sl. No.35
Court No.19
s.biswas

WPA 8402 of 2023

Uttam Kumar Das and others
vs.
The State of West Bengal and others

Ms. Sumitra Bhattacharya Neogi, Advocate
... for the petitioners
Mr. Lalit Mohan Mahata, AGP
... For the State

The petitioners allege that although they were listed for grant of benefit under PMAY(G), a housing scheme floated by the Central Government for the poor and homeless and implemented by the State Government, the petitioners had been wrongly deleted from such list.

Mr. Lalit Mohan Mahata, learned Additional Government Pleader, vehemently opposes the allegation of the petitioners. Learned Advocate submits a report in the form of instructions, prepared by the Block Development Officer, Contai-III Development Block, with regard to the allegations made. Referring to the said report Mr. Mahata points out the following:-

- a) Purna Chandra Das son of petitioner no.1 had received Rs.1,20,000/- in three instalments under Pradhan Mantri Awas Yojana-Gamin and PMAY(G) bearing Id no. WB1343146.
- b) Jaydeb Bera son of petitioner no.2, also received Rs.1,20,000/- in three instalments

under Pradhan Mantri Awas Yojana-Gamin and PMAY(G) bearing Id no. WB2911872.

- c) The petitioner no.3 was not found to be eligible and was not enlisted.
- d) With regard to the petitioner no.4, an enquiry revealed that he had a pucca house and was not eligible for the benefit under the housing scheme. A photograph of the house has been annexed to the report.
- e) With regard to the petitioner no.5, it was found that there was a dispute over to the land in respect of which documents had been submitted for the proposed construction.
- f) With regard to the petitioner no.6, the official records revealed that the said petitioner had not been enlisted at all.

The report is kept with the record.

On the aforementioned facts, the Court is satisfied that no illegality has been caused to the petitioners. Rather, the petitioners have suppressed material facts.

Accordingly, the writ petition is disposed of without any order.

All the parties are directed to act on the basis of server copy of this order.

(Shampa Sarkar, J.)