

30
14.08.2023
Court No. 35
D.Hira

WPA 6278 of 2009

Kaveri Sengupta (Roy)

Vs.

The State of West Bengal & Ors.

Mr. Anil Kumar Chattopadhyay.

... for the petitioner

Petitioner is represented.

The writ petitioner has preferred the instant case being aggrieved by non-consideration of the representation dated 18.12.1991 made before the Secretary, School Education Department, praying for change of option from C.P.F. to G.P.F.

Mr. Chattopadhyay, learned counsel appears for the petitioner and submits that necessary order be passed, as the writ petition may be infructuous due to efflux of time.

Taking into consideration the submissions as above and the facts and circumstances of the case as it transpires of the records, the writ petition is disposed of being infructuous due to efflux of time.

Urgent Photostat certified copy of this order, if applied for, be given to the parties on usual undertaking.

(Rai Chattopadhyay, J.)