

20.06.2023
25
cm

CRR 1144 of 2011

In the matter of : Jagannath Das.

.... for the petitioner.

The administrative notice could not be served upon the parties.

The instant criminal revisional application was filed against order dated 23.03.2011 passed by the learned Additional District & Sessions Judge, 6th Court, Barasat, in N-39/2010 should be set aside and/or reversed the same along with a direction for fresh determination of age after considering medical report/ossification test report and evidences.

Perused the petition as well as the order impugned stated that direction for determination of the age of the accused who has been a juvenile. The learned trial court had rightly held that on enquiry under Section 7A of the Juvenile Justice(Care and Protection of Children) Act, 2000.

The learned trial court became *functus officio* and, therefore, could not reopen the enquiry any further. This court does not find any infirmity or impropriety in the impugned order. Accordingly, this court is not inclined to interfere with the same.

The instant revisional application is accordingly dismissed.

Copy of the order be sent to the Department as well as trial court for due compliance.

(Ananya Bandyopadhyay, J.)

