

13-03-2023
Subha
Item no.17
Ct no.34

IN THE HIGH COURT AT CALCUTTA
Criminal Revisional Jurisdiction

CRR 998 of 2021

Seacom Project Limited & Anr.
-versus-
Arun Sengupta

Mr. Mani Sankar Chattopadhyay
Ms. Munmun Biswas
.....for the petitioner.

The complaint which has been enclosed along with the revisional application is a Photostat copy which do not contain the seal of the court or the notary who was supposed to affirm the affidavit on each and every page. The complaint is full of hand written insertions and scribbles. Such copy at the very inception should not have been allowed to be filed. The complaint is the foundation of the subject matter of challenge before this court.

Having regard to the same, I dismiss this revisional application granting liberty to the petitioners to file afresh. Accordingly, the revisional application being CRR 998 of 2021 is dismissed as infructuous on the limited grounds as stated above.

Department is directed to return the certified copy of the orders of the learned Additional Chief Judicial Magistrate, Alipore, 24 Parganas(South) on the same being replaced by a Photostat copy. As directed earlier, petitioners would be at liberty to file afresh enclosing a fresh set of certified copies in respect of the subject matter of challenge relating to the Complaint Case No. being A. C No.1947 of

2020.

All concerned parties are to act in terms of a copy of this order duly downloaded from the official website of this court.

[Tirthankar Ghosh, J]