

21.03.2023

Serial no. 22

[Dd]

(Anticipatory bail)

(Rejected)

CRM (A) 1155 of 2023

In re : An Application for **Anticipatory Bail** under Section **438** of the Code of Criminal Procedure in connection with **Chandipur** Police Station Case No. **369** of **2022** dated **October 13, 2022** under Sections **498A /323 /325 /313 / 354D/506/34** of the IPC and Sections **3/4** of the Dowry Prohibition Act.

-And-

In the matter of : **Sri Susanta Panda & Ors.**

... ..**Petitioners**

Mr. Aniket Mitra,
Mr. Rajib Acharyya,
Mr. Supriya Bhattacharjee, Advocates
... .. For the Petitioners

Mr. Goutam Banerjee, Advocate
... ..For the State

There are materials in the case diary implicating each of the petitioners in the accusation.

The police complaint was lodged within one year of marriage.

There are medical documents to suggest that the de facto complainant underwent an abortion.

The first petitioner is the husband of the de facto complainant while the other petitioners are the parents-in-law.

In such circumstances, we are unable to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

Prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioners is **rejected**.

CRM (A) 1155 of 2023 is **dismissed**.

(Debangsu Basak, J.)

(Md. Shabbar Rashidi, J.)