

20.03.2023.  
66.  
Ct.No.28  
as  
(Rejected)

**C.R.M. (DB) 984 of 2023**

In Re:- An application for bail under Section 439 of the Code of Criminal Procedure in connection with Jorabagan P. S./DD Case No.37 of 2018 dated 08.04.2018 under Sections 395/397/120B of the Indian Penal Code and under Sections 25(1B)(a)/27 of the Arms Act.

In the matter of : Dindayal Sharma @ Dinu Pandit.  
... Petitioner.

Mr. Uday Sankar Chattopadhyay,  
Mr. Suman Sankar Chatterjee,  
Mr. Sanjay Sharma,  
Mr. Santanu Maji.

...for the Petitioner.

Mr. Rudradipta Nandy, ld. A.P.P.,  
Mrs. Sonali Das.

...for the State.

Petitioner is in custody for three years and eight months.

He prays for bail.

Learned Advocate for the State opposes the bail prayer.

We have considered the materials on record. Trial is in progress. Eight witnesses have already been examined. There are ample materials against the petitioner in the dacoity.

Under such circumstances, we are not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner.

Accordingly, the prayer for bail of the petitioner is rejected.

Trial court is requested to conclude the trial as expeditiously as possible preferably within one year from the next date fixed for recording evidence without granting unnecessary adjournment to either of the parties.

**(Ajay Kumar Gupta,J.)**

**(Joymalya Bagchi, J.)**

