

**06.02.2023**

**Sl. No.: 3**

Court No.30  
BM

**CRR 632 of 2019**

**Abhishek Bajaj**

**Vs.**

**State of West Bengal & Anr.**

Mr. Dipak Kumar Mookerjee

... for the petitioner

Mr. Manoranjan Mahata

... for the State

Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner has filed an intimation from the petitioner stating that the grievance in the present case has been amicably settled outside the court and he does not wish to proceed with this case. Accordingly, considering the said fact and the fact that this is a case arising out of Siliguri Women Police Station case under Sections 498A/494/506 of the Indian Penal Code read with Sections 3/ 4 of the Dowry Prohibition Act, the matter is disposed of for non-prosecution.

Mr. Manoranjan Mahata, learned counsel appearing for the State is appointed to represent the State in this case. Let his appointment be regularised by the competent authority.

Intimation filed by the learned counsel appearing for the petitioner be kept with the record.

All connected application stands disposed of.

Interim order, if any, stands vacated.

Let a copy of the order be sent to the learned trial court for compliance.

==

Learned counsel for the State to also intimate the appropriate authority to proceed accordingly.

( **Shampa Dutt (Paul), J.**)