

Item No.55

In The High Court At Calcutta
Constitutional Writ Jurisdiction
Appellate Side

16.06.2023
Ct-24

WPA 5396 of 2023
Kartick Ghosh

v.

The State of West Bengal & Ors.

Mr. Ashok Kumar Ganguly
... for the petitioner.

Mr. Sirsanya Bandopadhyay
Mr. Tirthankar Dey
Mr. Arka Kumar Nag
... for BMC.

Mr. Debabrata Mondal
Ms. Sreetama Neogi
... for the respondent no. 7.

Ms. Jayeeta Sinha
Mr. Sandip Mondal
... for the State.

Learned advocate representing the petitioner submits that his client has given written communication intimating that he does not intend to proceed with the writ petition any further.

In view of such communication by the petitioner, the writ petition stands dismissed as 'not pressed'.

Dismissal of the writ petition will, however, not stand in the way of the Corporation to take steps in accordance with law to deal with any unauthorized construction as complained of by the petitioner.

Communication of the petitioner dated June 13, 2023 filed in Court today is taken on record.

Urgent certified photocopy of this order, if applied for, be supplied to the parties expeditiously on compliance of usual legal formalities.

Sh

(Amrita Sinha, J.)