

22.08.2023

Sl. No.:13

Court No.30
BM

CRR 708 of 2020

Nilanjana Dey

vs.

State of West Bengal

Mr. Rajiv Lochan Chakraborty

Mr. Priyanjit Kundu

... for the petitioner

Mr. Joydeep Roy

Ms. Puspita Saha

... for the State

Mr. Prantick Ghosh

Mr. Siddhartha Sarkar

... for the opposite party No.2

The opposite party no.2/the defacto complainant has filed supplementary affidavit stating therein that the deceased was a non-diabetic person.

At this stage, the petitioner files a written instruction stating that they do not want to proceed with the revisional application and pray for withdrawal of the case.

Considering the submission of the learned counsel for the petitioner, the opposite party no.2 and the learned counsel for the State who has placed the case diary, the prayer of the petitioner is allowed.

The revisional application is dismissed as withdrawn.

The case diary be returned to the learned counsel for the State.

(Shampa Dutt (Paul), J.)