

WPA 4650 of 2023

Mahafuj Arif
Vs.
The State of West Bengal & Ors.

Mr. Arabinda Chatterjee, Sr. Adv.
Ms. Kakali Dutta ...for the petitioner.

Mr. Soumitra Bandyopadhyay
Mr. P. Batabyal ...for the State.

Report submitted on behalf of the State respondents
is taken on record.

Heard learned counsels for the parties.

It is not in dispute that initially the timetable was
extended up to 16th January, 2025 and provisional
timetable was granted vide memo dated 21st October,
2022 for a period of 20 days.

The petitioner, by a representation submitted on 21st
November, 2022, has prayed for renewal of the timetable
in terms of the provisional timetable issued on 21st
October, 2022.

Since no objection/dispute appears to have been
raised against the provisional timetable issued in favour
of the petitioner, this Court is inclined to hold that the
concerned authority be directed to renew the timetable of
the petitioner in terms of the provisional timetable issued
on 21st October, 2022 keeping in view the period of
validity of the initial timetable issued on 23rd July, 2019.

Accordingly, the writ petition is disposed of directing the 3rd respondent to consider the representation submitted by the petitioner dated 21st November, 2022 and take necessary steps for renewal of the timetable of the petitioner in terms of the provisional timetable issued on 21st October, 2022, keeping in view the period of validity of the initial timetable issued on 23rd July, 2019, within two weeks from the date of communication of this order.

With the above observations and directions the writ petition being WPA 4650 of 2023 is disposed of.

However, there shall be no order as to costs.

Since no affidavit is invited, the allegations contained in the petition are deemed not to be admitted.

Urgent certified website copy of this order, if applied for, be supplied to the parties upon compliance with all requisite formalities.

(Suvra Ghosh, J.)